Systems, patterns and data engineering with geometric calculi (GC&DL)

(Born in Campinas, Brazil, on the occasion of AGACSE 2018, which was an early satellite of AGACSE 2018)

First developed as a language for physics, recently there has been an explosion of applications of Geometric Calculus in a great variety of areas, like general relativity, cosmology, robotics, computer graphics, computer vision, molecular geometry, quantum computing, etc.

The goal of the mini-symposium is to overview the basic ideas of GC, to report on some relevant applications, and to explore the bearing of the formalism in novel approaches to deep learning.
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Grassmann algebra
$E$ real vector space of finite dimension $n$.

$(\wedge E, \wedge)$ Grassmann’s *exterior algebra* of $E$.

It is *unital*, *associative* and *skew-commutative*:
\[ x \wedge x' = -x' \wedge x \text{ for all } x, x' \in E. \]

In particular $x \wedge x = x \wedge^2 = 0$ for all $x \in E$.

$\wedge^k E \subset \wedge E$ (*$k$-th exterior power of $E$*):
subspace of $\wedge E$ generated by all *$k$-blades*, which are the non-zero exterior products $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ ($x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E$).

By convention, $\wedge^0 E = \mathbb{R}$ and clearly $\wedge^1 E = E$.

The elements of $\wedge^k E$ are called *$k$-vectors*.

Special names: $k = 0$, *scalars*; $k = 1$, *vectors*; $k = 2$, *bivectors*; $k = n - 1$, *pseudovectors* (dim $n$); $k = n$, *pseudoscalars* (dim 1).
\[ \bigwedge E = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{k=n} \bigwedge^k E \text{ (grading of } \bigwedge E) \]

The elements \( a \in \bigwedge E \) are called multivectors and we have a unique decomposition \( a = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \), with \( a_k \in \bigwedge^k E \).

\( N = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) set of indices.

\( \mathcal{J} \) set of subsets of \( N \): Its elements are called multiindices.

\( \mathcal{J}_k \subset \mathcal{J} \) subset of multiindices of cardinal \( k \).

Let \( e = e_1, \ldots, e_n \) be a basis of \( E \).

If \( K = k_1, \ldots, k_m \) is a sequence of indices, we set \( e_K = e_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{k_m} \).

Note that \( e_K = 0 \) if \( K \) has repeated indices (it occurs when \( m > n \)).

\[ \{e_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{J}_k} \text{ is a basis of } \bigwedge^k E. \]

Thus \( \dim \bigwedge^k E = \binom{n}{k} \) and \( \dim \bigwedge E = 2^n \).
**Parity involution.** The linear automorphism $E \rightarrow E$, $e \mapsto -e$, induces a linear automorphism of $\wedge E$ that is denoted $a \mapsto \hat{a}$.

- For $a \in \wedge E$, we have $\hat{a} = \sum_k (-1)^k a_k$.

- $a \wedge b = \hat{a} \wedge \hat{b}$ for all $a, b \in \wedge E$ (*algebra automorphism*).

**Reverse involution.** Exchanging the order of exterior products yields a linear *antiautomorphism* of $\wedge E$, $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$.

Since reversing a $k$-blade amounts to $\binom{k}{2}$ sign changes, and since this number has the same parity as $k/2$ (the integer quotient of $k$ by 2), we have

- $\tilde{a} = \sum_k (-1)^{k/2} a_k$.

- $a \wedge b = \tilde{b} \wedge \tilde{a}$ for all $a, b \in \wedge E$ (*algebra antiautomorphism*).
Let \( q \) be a \textit{metric} on \( E \): a non-degenerate quadratic form of \( E \).

The metric is also regarded as a bilinear non-degenerate form:

\[
2q(x, x') = q(x + x') - q(x) - q(x'), \quad q(x) = q(x, x).
\]

A vector \( x \) is said to be \textit{positive}, \textit{negative} or \textit{null} (or \textit{isotropic}) according to whether \( q(x) > 0 \), \( q(x) < 0 \) or \( q(x) = 0 \).

The basis \( e \) is said to be \textit{orthogonal} if \( q(e_j, e_k) = 0 \) for \( j \neq k \).

The basis is \textit{orthonormal} if in addition \( q(e_i) = \pm 1 \).

The \textit{signature} \((r, s)\) of \( q \) is obtained by counting the numbers \( r \) and \( s \) of positive and negative vectors in any orthogonal basis.

\((E, q) = E_{r,s}: \text{orthogonal geometry}\) of signature \((r, s)\).

**Fundamental goal**: To understand the group \( O_{r,s} \) of \textit{isometries} of \( E_{r,s} \), the subgroup \( SO_{r,s} \) of \textit{proper isometries} (or \textit{rotations}), and the subgroup \( SO_{0,r,s} \) of \textit{rotations connected to the identity}. 
Examples

Euclidean space: $E_n = E_{n,0}$ (signature $(n, 0)$).
$E_2$ (Euclidean plane), $E_3$ (ordinary Euclidean space).

Antieuclidean space $\bar{E}_n = E_{\bar{n}} = E_{0,n}$ (signature $(0, n)$).

Minkowski space: $(E, \eta) = E_{1,3}$. In this case a convenient notation for an orthonormal basis is $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$, where $e_0$ is positive and $e_1, e_2, e_3$ negative. $O_{1,3}$ is the group of Lorentz transformations.

$E^c_3 = E_{3+1,1}$: Conformal space. In this case, a convenient basis is formed by adding null vectors $e_0$ and $e_\infty$ that are orthogonal to $E_3$, and such that $e_0 \cdot e_\infty = -1$, to an orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, e_3$ of $E_3$. Note that the signature of the plane $\langle e_0, e_\infty \rangle$ is $(1, 1)$: the vectors $e^+ = (e_0 - e_\infty)/\sqrt{2}$ and $e^- = (e_0 + e_\infty)/\sqrt{2}$ are orthogonal and $q(e^+) = 1$, $q(e^-) = -1$. 


There is a unique metric on $\Lambda E$, still denoted $q$, such that the spaces $\Lambda^k E$ are pairwise $q$-orthogonal and with

$$q(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k, x'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x'_k) = \det((q(x_i, x'_j))) \quad (i, j = 1, \ldots, k).$$

If follows that the basis $\{e^\hat{i}\}_{i \in \mathcal{J}}$ is orthogonal (orthonormal) if the basis $e$ is orthogonal (orthonormal).

**Exercise.** The signature of this metric is $(2^n, 0)$ if $s = 0$ (so $r = n$), and $(2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1})$ otherwise. In particular $(\Lambda E, q)$ is:

- non-degenerate when $(E, q)$ is non-degenerate;
- Euclidean when $(E, q)$ is Euclidean;
- has signature $(8, 8)$ for the Minkowski space;
- has signature $(16, 16)$ for the conformal space.
It is derived from the (left) contraction operator $i_x$ ($x \in E$):

$$i_x(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k) = \sum_j (-1)^{j-1} q(x, x_j)x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j-1} \wedge x_{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k.$$ 

The result is a bilinear product $a \cdot b$ ($a, b \in \wedge E$) uniquely determined by the following properties:

- $a \cdot b = 0$ if $a$ or $b$ is a scalar;
- $x \cdot b = i_x(b)$ if $x \in E$; so $x \cdot y = q(x, y)$ for $x, y \in E$.
- $a \cdot b = (-1)^{jk+m} b \cdot a$ ($m = \min(j, k)$) if $a \in \wedge^j E$, $b \in \wedge^k E$. In particular, $a \cdot x = (-1)^{j+1} i_x(a)$ if $x$ is a vector and $j \geq 1$.
- $(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j-1} \wedge x_j) \cdot b = (x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{j-1}) \cdot (x_j \cdot b)$ if $b \in \wedge^k E$ and $2 \leq j \leq k$.
- If $a = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k$ and $b = x'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x'_k$, $a \cdot b = (-1)^{k/2} q(a, b)$. In general, $a \cdot b = q(\tilde{a}, b)$ if $a, b \in \wedge^k E$, $k \geq 1$. 

Grassmann algebra

Inner product

There is also a *Laplace formula* for the inner product $a \cdot b$ when $a = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_j$ and $b = x'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x'_k$. Its general expression can be easily guessed from the following example: $(x_1 \wedge x_2) \cdot (x'_1 \wedge x'_2 \wedge x'_3) = ((x_1 \wedge x_2) \cdot (x'_1 \wedge x'_2))x'_3 - ((x_1 \wedge x_2) \cdot (x'_1 \wedge x'_3))x'_2 + ((x_1 \wedge x_2) \cdot (x'_2 \wedge x'_3))x'_1$.

For all $a, b \in \wedge E$,\[ \hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} = \hat{a} \cdot \hat{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{a} \cdot \tilde{b} = \tilde{b} \cdot \tilde{a} \]
Synopsis of GA
The GA of \((E, q) = E_{r,s}\), denoted \(\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_q = \mathcal{G}_{r,s}\), can be constructed by enriching \(\wedge E\) with the geometric product \(ab\) (Clifford). It is unital, bilinear and associative. Moreover,

- For any vectors \(x, x' \in E\), \(xx' = x \cdot x' + x \wedge x'\) (Clifford relations).
- Thus \(xx' = -x'x\) iff \(x \cdot x' = 0\) (anticommuting property) and \(x^2 = q(x)\) (Clifford reduction).
- If \(q(x) \neq 0\) (non-isotropic, or non-null vector), \(x^{-1} = x/q(x)\).
- For \(x \in E\) and \(a \in \wedge E\),
  \[xa = x \cdot a + x \wedge a = (i_x + \mu_x)(a)\]
  \[ax = a \cdot x + a \wedge x\]
- If \(a \in \mathcal{G}^j\) and \(b \in \mathcal{G}^k\), then \((ab)_i\) is 0 unless \(i\) is in the range \(|j - k|, |j - k| + 2, \ldots, j + k - 2, j + k\), and
  \[(ab)_{j-k} = a \cdot b\] for \(j, k > 0\), and \((ab)_{j+k} = a \wedge b\).
- For any \( a, b \in G \), \( \hat{ab} = \hat{a}\hat{b} \) and \( \bar{ab} = \bar{b}\bar{a} \).
- Riesz formulas \( 2x \wedge a = xa + \hat{a}x \), \( 2x \cdot a = xa - \hat{a}x \)
- The metric in terms of the geometric product: For all \( a, b \in G \),
  \[
  q(a, b) = (\bar{ab})_0 = (\bar{b}\bar{a})_0.
  \]
- In particular we have
  \[
  q(a) = (\bar{a}a)_0 = (a\bar{a})_0
  \]
  for all \( a \in G \).
- If \( a \) is a \( k \)-blade, then \( \bar{a}a \) is already a scalar and
  \[
  q(a) = \bar{a}a = a\bar{a} = (-1)^{k/2}a^2
  \]
In particular we see that \( a \) is invertible if and only if \( a^2 \neq 0 \), or if and only if \( q(a) \neq 0 \), and if this is the case, then we have
\[
 a^{-1} = a/a^2 = \bar{a}/q(a).
\]
Let \( e = e_1, \ldots, e_n \) be a basis of \( E \) and \( N = \{1, \ldots, n\} \) the set of indices.

If \( K = k_1, \ldots, k_m \) is a sequence of indices, set

\[
e_K = e_{k_1} \cdots e_{k_m}.
\]

\( \{e_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \) is a basis of \( \mathcal{G} = \wedge E \).

Remark that if \( I \in \mathcal{J}_k \), then in general \( e_I = e_I^\wedge + \) lower grade terms, like \( e_{12} = e_1 e_2 = e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_{12}^\wedge + e_1 \cdot e_2 \).

\( \bullet \) If \( e \) is orthogonal, then \( e_I = e_I^\wedge \), as

\[
x_1 \cdots x_k = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k
\]

when \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) are pair-wise orthogonal vectors.
Artin’s formula: If \( I, J \) are multiindices, then
\[
e_I e_J = (-1)^{t(I,J)} q_{I \cap J} e_{I \Delta J}
\]
where \( t(I,J) \) is the number of inversions in the sequence \( I, J \), \( I \Delta J \) is the symmetric difference of \( I \) and \( J \), and \( q_K = q(e_{k_1}) \cdots q(e_{k_m}) \).

In particular,
\[
e_j^2 = (-1)^{|J|/2} q_J
\]

Examples

- In \( E_2 \), \( e_{12}^2 = -1 \) (as \( 2 \| 2 = 1 \) and \( q_{12} = 1 \)).
- In \( E_3 \), \( e_{123}^2 = -1 \) (as \( 3 \| 2 = 1 \) and \( q_{123} = 1 \)).
- In \( E_{1,3} \), \( e_{0123}^2 = -1 \) (as \( 4 \| 2 = 2 \) and \( q_{0123} = (-1)^3 = -1 \)).
- In \( \bar{E}_4 \), \( e_{1234}^2 = 1 \) (as \( 4 \| 2 = 2 \) and \( q_{1234} = (-1)^4 = 1 \)).
Synopsis of GA

Examples: Gauss and Pauli

\[G_2 = \langle 1, e_1, e_2, e_{12} = i \rangle, \quad i^2 = -1 \text{ (Gauss algebra).}\]

\[G_2^+ = \langle 1, i \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\]

\[P = G_3 = \langle 1, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_{23}, e_{31}, e_{12}, e_{123} = i \rangle, \quad i^2 = -1 \text{ (Pauli).}\]

\[e_{23} = ie_1 = e_1i, \quad e_{31} = ie_2 = e_2i, \quad e_{12} = ie_3 = e_3i.\]

General element: \((\alpha + \beta i) + (x + yi)\) \((\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ x, y \in E_3)\).

\[G_2^+ = \{\alpha + xi\} = \mathbb{H} \text{ (quaternion field).}\]

\[\diamond \quad q(\alpha + xi) = (\alpha + xi)(\alpha + xi)^\sim = \alpha^2 + x^2\]

**Hamilton units:** \(I = e_{12} = e_3i, \quad J = e_{31} = e_2i, \quad K = e_{23} = e_1i.\)

(Yes, in that order if we want that the Hamilton’s original relations \(I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1\) are satisfied).
$E_{1,3} = \langle e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$. In $D = G_{1,3}$ (Dirac algebra), set: $i = e_{0123}$, $\sigma_k = e_k e_0$. Then $i^2 = -1$, $i$ anticommutes with vectors, and $D = \langle 1, e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, i \sigma_1, i \sigma_2, i \sigma_3, e_0 i, e_1 i, e_2 i, e_3 i, i \rangle$.

A general element has the form $(\alpha + \beta i) + (x + y i) + (E + i B)$, $(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in E_{1,3}, E, B \in \mathcal{E} = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \rangle)$.

$D^+ = \langle 1, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, i \sigma_1, i \sigma_2, i \sigma_3, i \rangle \simeq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

Its elements have the form $(\alpha + \beta i) + (E + i B)$.

$\diamond i = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3$. 
Versors
Let $E^\times$ be the set on non-isotropic vectors of $E$.

If $x \in E$, define the linear automorphism $x : G \to G$ by

$$x(a) = -xax^{-1} = \hat{x}ax^{-1}.$$ 

\[ \Diamond \] For a vector $y$, $x(y)$ is the reflection of $y$ along $x$ (or across $x^\perp$).

Proof: $x(x) = -x$ and $x(y) = y$ if $y \in x^\perp$.

The map $x$ is not an algebra automorphism, but satisfies:

$$x(ab) = -x(ab)x^{-1} = -xax^{-1}xbx^{-1} = -x(a)x(b).$$

It follows that $x$ is grade-preserving. Moreover, it is an isometry:

$$q(x(a)) = ((-xax^{-1})^*(-xax^{-1}))_0$$

$$= (x^{-1}a^2a^{-1}x^{-1})_0 = (x^aax^{-1})_0 = q(a).$$
Let $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E^\times$ and $\nu = x_1 \cdots x_k$. Then
\[
(x_1 \cdots x_k)(a) = \hat{x}_1 \cdots \hat{x}_k a x_k^{-1} \cdots x_1^{-1} = \hat{\nu} a \nu^{-1}.
\]

The expressions $\nu$ form a group under the geometric product. We denote it by $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_{r,s}$ and its elements are called versors.

Any isometry $f : E \to E$ has the form $\nu$ for some versor $\nu$. Moreover, if $\nu = \nu'$, then $\nu' = \lambda \nu$ for some scalar $\lambda$.

A unit versor (also called a pinor) is a versor $\nu$ such that $\nu \hat{\nu} = \pm 1$.

Any unit versor is the product of unit vectors (and conversely).

Any isometry $f : E \to E$ has the form $\nu$ for some unit versor $\nu$. Moreover, if $\nu = \nu'$ ($\nu'$ also a unit versor), then $\nu' = \pm \nu$. 
The **even** unit versors are called *spinors*. They form a subgroup $S_{r,s}$ of $V_{r,s}$. The *rotors* are the spinors $v$ such that $v\tilde{v} = 1$. They form a subgroup $R_{r,s}$ of $S_{r,s}$. In the Euclidean space, any spinor is a rotor, but this is not true in general.

◊ **Any proper isometry (also called rotation) has the form $v$ for some spinor $v$. If the rotation is connected to the identity, then it has the form $v$ for some rotor $v$.**
**Example.** Let $u$ and $u'$ to unit linearly independent vectors of $E_n$ and $	heta = \angle(u, u')$. Then the rotation $v$ produced by the rotor $v = u'u$ is the rotation in the plane $P = \langle u, u' \rangle$ of amplitude $2\theta$.

Indeed, since $v$ is the identity on $P^\perp$, it amounts to a rotation in $P$. Let $i = i_P$ be the unit area of $P$. Then $u$ and $u'^\perp = ui$ form an orthonormal basis of $P$ and $u' = u \cos \theta + u'^\perp \sin \theta$. Hence $v = u'u = \cos \theta - i \sin \theta = e^{-i\theta}$. Finally, $v(u) = vu\tilde{v} = e^{-i\theta}ue^{i\theta} = ue^{2i\theta} = u \cos 2\theta + u'^\perp \sin 2\theta$.

◇ If $b \in G^2$, $R = e^b = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} b^k$ satisfies $R\tilde{R} = e^b e^{-b} = 1$. If $n \leq 5$, then $R$ is a rotor.
The Hestenes embedding $E_3 \rightarrow E_{3,1}^0$, $x \mapsto X$:

$$X = e_0 + x + \kappa(x)e_\infty, \quad \kappa(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2.$$  

The isometry group $O_{4,1}$ acts on $E_{3,1}^0$ and hence on $E_3$. These actions are conformal and any conformal map of $E_3$ can be obtained in this way.

The similarities are induced by the isometries leaving $e_\infty$ fixed.

Using the general construction of rotors, we can produce similarities (sufficient for robotics) tailored to our needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transformation</th>
<th>Conformal rotor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>$e^{-i\theta}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation</td>
<td>$e^{-ve_\infty/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilation</td>
<td>$e^{\alpha e_0 e_\infty/2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Dirac operator
Let \( e_j \) be a basis of \( E \) and \( e^j \) its reciprocal, defined by the relations
\[
e^j \cdot e_k = \partial^j_k.
\]

**Examples.** For an orthonormal basis of \( E_n, \) \( e^j = e_j \) for all \( j. \) In the
Minkowski space, \( e^0 = e_0 \) and \( e^j = -e_j \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3. \)

The **Dirac operator** can be defined by the expression \( \partial = e^j \partial_j \) (sum
wrt \( j \) implied by Einstein’s convention), where \( \partial_j = \partial/\partial x^j, \) \( x^j \) the
coordinate functions wrt to \( e_j \) (so \( x = x^j e_j \) for \( x \in E. \))

There are three actions of \( \partial \) on a **multivector field** \( a = a^I(x) e_I: \)

- \( \partial a = \partial_j a^I e^j e_I \)
- \( \partial \cdot a = \partial_j a^I e^j \cdot e_I \)
- \( \partial \wedge a = \partial_j a^I e^j \wedge e_I. \)
- \( \partial a = \partial \cdot a + \partial \wedge a \) (as \( e^j e_I = e^j \cdot e_I + e^j \wedge e_I ). \)
Let $a = a^i e_i$ be a \textit{vector field}. Then

- $\partial \cdot a = \partial_j a^j$ (\textit{divergence}).
- $\partial \wedge a = \sum_{i<j}(q_i \partial_i a^j - q_j \partial_j a^i)e_{ij}$.

In $E_3$, $\partial = \nabla = e_1 \partial_1 + e_2 \partial_2 + e_3 \partial_3$:

- $\nabla \cdot a = \partial_1 a^1 + \partial_2 a^2 + \partial_3 a^3 = \text{div}(a)$.
- $\nabla \wedge a = (\partial_1 a^2 - \partial_2 a^1)e_{12} + (\partial_1 a^3 - \partial_3 a^1)e_{13} + (\partial_2 a^3 - \partial_3 a^2)e_{23} = (\partial_2 a^3 - \partial_3 a^2)e_1 i + (\partial_3 a^1 - \partial_1 a^3)e_2 i + (\partial_1 a^2 - \partial_2 a^1)e_3 i = (\nabla \times a)i = \text{curl}(a)i$. 
A bivector of $\mathcal{D}$ has the form $F = E + iB$ ($E, B \in \mathcal{E}$) and can be used to encode the electromagnetic field (Faraday bivector).

Let $\rho = \rho(x, t)$ be the scalar function representing the charge density and $j \in \mathcal{E}$ the vector representing the current density. The $J = \rho e_0 + j$ is the current vector.

◊ The equation $\partial F = J$ is equivalent to the Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic field generated by $\rho$ and $j$.

◊ If we multiply $\partial F = J$ by $\partial$ on the left, we obtain $\Box F = \partial \cdot J + \partial \wedge J$, where $\Box = \partial^2 = \partial_0^2 - (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2)$ (d’alambertian).

Since the left side is a bivector ($\Box$ preserves grades), the scalar part of the right-hand side expression must vanish: $\partial \cdot J = 0$. This is the charge conservation equation, as it is equivalent to the continuity equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot j = 0$. 
The original Dirac equations were written in terms of $4 \times 4$ complex matrices $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ that provided a matrix representation of $D$ determined by $e_i \mapsto \gamma_i$. The space on which these matrices act, $\mathbb{C}^4$, was the space of \textit{Dirac spinors}; the \textit{wave function} was map $\psi : E_{1,3} \to \mathbb{C}^4$; and the Dirac equation was derived as a “relativistic Schrödinger equation for the electron wave function” (Klein-Gordon equation).

It turns out, however, that GC shows that \textit{the complex matrices are superfluous, as the only crucial fact required is that they satisfy Clifford’s relations}. And after that, the analysis reveals that the role of $\mathbb{C}^4$ must be played by the space $D^+ (\simeq \mathcal{P})$, which has complex dimension 4, and hence that the wave function is to be thought as a \textit{spinor field}, the name for a function $\psi : E_{1,3} \to D^+$.

As is customary, instead of $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ used so far, we will use $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$. 
The final conclusion is that the *Dirac equation* is morphed into the following equation for the spinor field $\psi$:

$$\partial \psi i \hbar - \frac{e}{c} A \psi = m_e c \psi \gamma_0,$$

where $c$ is the speed of light, $e$ is the electron charge and $m_e$ its mass. In this equation $i$ is not $\sqrt{-1}$, but the bivector $i = \gamma_{21}$, and $A$ is the *electromagnetic potential*, a vector field such that $\partial \wedge A = F$ and $\partial \cdot A = 0$.

As found and expressed by D. Hestenes, this equation “reveals geometric structure in the Dirac theory that is so deeply hidden [even inaccessible] in the matrix version that it remains unrecognized by QED experts to this day”.

See [?, §3.3], [116], [117, §6.2 and §6.3], which include a comprehensive survey of applications.
\[ i = \gamma_2 \gamma_1 = i \gamma_3 \gamma_0 = i \sigma_3 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2. \]

This 2-area element is a geometric imaginary unit that replaces the (ungeometric) imaginary unit \( \sqrt{-1} \) in the original Dirac equation.

The first important advantage of the GC formulation of the Dirac equation is that \( \psi(x) \) admits a decomposition of the form

\[
\psi = \rho^{1/2} e^{i\beta/2} R,
\]

where \( \rho = \rho(x) \) is a positive real number, \( \beta = \beta(x) \in [0, 2\pi) \) and \( R = R(x) \) is a rotor (that is, \( R \tilde{R} = 1 \)). Note that this expression has eight degrees of freedom: \( 1 + 1 + 6 \).
Define $e_\mu = e_\mu(x) = R \gamma_\mu \tilde{R}$ (comoving frame). Since $R$ is a rotor, this is an orthonormal frame field in $E_{1,3}$ with the same orientation and temporal orientation as the reference frame $\gamma_\mu$.

Note that $\psi \gamma_\mu \tilde{\psi} = \rho e_\mu$, because $i$ anticommutes with vectors and $\tilde{i} = i$:

$$\psi \gamma_\mu \tilde{\psi} = \rho e^{i\beta/2} R \gamma_\mu \tilde{R} e^{i\beta/2} = \rho e^{i\beta/2} e^{-i\beta/2} R \gamma_\mu \tilde{R} = \rho e_\mu.$$

In particular, $\psi \gamma_0 \tilde{\psi} = \rho v$, where $v = e_0$, is the Dirac current.

The vector

$$s = \frac{\hbar}{2} R \gamma_3 \tilde{R} = \frac{\hbar}{2} e_3$$

(1)

is the spin vector.
The rotor $R$ transforms the unit $i$ to $\iota = R\tilde{R}$, which is the (comoving) space-like plane quantity $e_2e_1$ and $S = \frac{\hbar}{2}\iota$ can be called the \textit{spin bivector}. The relation to the spin vector is as follows:

$$S = i sv.$$ 

\textbf{Proof} $i sv = \frac{\hbar}{2} i R\gamma_3 \tilde{R} R\gamma_0 \tilde{R} = \frac{\hbar}{2} R i\gamma_3 \gamma_0 \tilde{R} = \frac{\hbar}{2} R i\tilde{R} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \iota = S$. \qed
With \( R = e^{i(k \cdot x)} \), we have a ‘monochromatic spinor’ (yes, \( i \) and \( i \))

\[
\psi = \rho^{1/2} e^{i\beta/2} e^{i(k \cdot x)}.
\]

A straightforward computation shows that the condition for this wave to satisfy the real Dirac equation is that

\[
\hbar k = m_e c v e^{-i\beta}
\]

This implies that \( \cos(\beta) = \pm 1 \). As for monochromatic electromagnetic waves, the condition for constant phase in the moving frame is \( v \cdot x = c \tau \), and so

\[
\hbar k \cdot x = \pm m_e c (v \cdot x) = \pm m_e c^2 \tau
\]

which yields the de Broglie frequency \( m_e c^2 / \hbar \) of the electron.

A closer analysis shows that the vector \( e_1 \) turns in the plane \( \iota \) with frequency \( 2m_e c^2 / \hbar \), which is the zitterbewegung frequency of Schrödinger, with period \( 4.0466 \times 10^{-21} \text{s} \).
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